

ON A DIFFUSIVE PREDATOR-PREY MODEL WITH STAGE STRUCTURE ON PREY

SEONG LEE*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider a diffusive delayed predator-prey model with Beddington-DeAngelis type functional response under homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions, where the discrete time delay covers the period from the birth of immature preys to their maturity. We investigate the global existence of nonnegative solutions and the long-term behavior of the time-dependent solution of the model.

1. Introduction

Recently, the results have been obtained for a stage structured predator-prey models with a time-delay term in the functional responses in a homogeneous environment. For example, Liu and Zhang [2] studied the following model, which is a stage structured predator-prey model of the Beddington-DeAngelis type functional response based on a consideration of time the prey took from birth to maturity:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} x'_i(t) = bx_m(t) - d_i x_i(t) - be^{-d_i \tau} x_m(t - \tau), \\ x'_m(t) = be^{-d_i \tau} x_m(t - \tau) - ax_m^2(t) - \frac{mx_m(t)y(t)}{1 + k_1 x_m(t) + k_2 y(t)}, \\ y'(t) = \frac{nm x_m(t)y(t)}{1 + k_1 x_m(t) + k_2 y(t)} - dy(t), \end{cases}$$

where $x_m(\theta) > 0$ is continuous on $-\tau \leq \theta \leq 0$ and $x_i(0), x_m(0), y(0) > 0$. Here x_m and y represent mature prey and predator densities, respectively, while x_i is the immature prey density. All constants are positive, and b means the birth rate of the mature prey; d_i is a mortality rate of immature prey; n is the birth rate of predator; m is the

Received July 08, 2013; Accepted September 27, 2013.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 35K40, 35K57, 92D25.

Key words and phrases: diffusive predator-prey model, Beddington-DeAngelis functional response, time delay, stage structure on prey.

capture rate(1/time); k_1 is the handling time(1/prey); k_2 is a constant describing the magnitude of interference among predators(1/predator); $e^{-d_i\tau}$ is the surviving rate of each immature prey to become mature prey; a is the death rate of mature prey; d is the death rate of predator. In there, the permanence, extinction of species and the global stability of the equilibria were demonstrated.

In this paper, we extend the above model (1.1) as the following a diffusive predator-prey model of Beddington-DeAngelis type functional response with stage structure on prey:

$$(1.2) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial u_i(x, t)}{\partial t} = bu(x, t) - d_i u_i(x, t) - be^{-d_i\tau} u(x, t - \tau), \\ \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t} = D_u \Delta u(x, t) + be^{-d_i\tau} u(x, t - \tau) - au^2(x, t) \\ \quad - \frac{mu(x, t)v(x, t)}{1 + k_1u(x, t) + k_2v(x, t)}, \\ \frac{\partial v(x, t)}{\partial t} = D_v \Delta v(x, t) + \frac{nm u(x, t)v(x, t)}{1 + k_1u(x, t) + k_2v(x, t)} \\ \quad - dv(x, t) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial \nu} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, \theta) = u_\theta(x) \geq 0, v(x, \theta) = v_\theta(x) \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times [-\tau, 0], \\ u(x, 0) \neq 0, v(x, 0) \neq 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_i(x, 0) = b \int_{-\tau}^0 e^{d_i s} u(x, s) ds, \end{array} \right.$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$; u_i and u represent the densities of immature and mature prey, respectively; and v denotes the density of mature predator. D_u and D_v are the diffusion coefficients of mature prey and mature predator, respectively; $\partial/\partial\nu$ denotes the outward normal derivative on the boundary $\partial\Omega$ of Ω .

We assume that the predator consumes the mature prey with the functional response of Beddington-DeAngelis type. Furthermore, the following is assumed due to the continuity of solutions of the model,

$$(1.3) \quad u_i(x, 0) = b \int_{-\tau}^0 e^{d_i s} u(x, s) ds.$$

From the first equation in model (1.2) with the initial condition (1.3), we have

$$u_i(x, t) = b \int_{-\tau}^0 e^{d_i s} u(x, t + s) ds.$$

Thus, $u_i(x, t)$ is completely determined by $u(x, t)$. For the convenience, we denote

$$r = be^{-d_i \tau}, \quad K = \frac{be^{-d_i \tau}}{a}, \quad u_\tau = u(x, t - \tau).$$

So, we need to focus on the following subsystem:

$$(1.4) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t} = D_u \Delta u(x, t) + ru_\tau - \frac{r}{K} u^2(x, t) \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad - \frac{mu(x, t)v(x, t)}{1 + k_1 u(x, t) + k_2 v(x, t)}, \\ \frac{\partial v(x, t)}{\partial t} = D_v \Delta v(x, t) - \frac{nm u(x, t)v(x, t)}{1 + k_1 u(x, t) + k_2 v(x, t)} \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad - dv(x, t) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, \theta) = u_\theta(x) \geq 0, \quad v(x, \theta) = v_\theta(x) \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times [-\tau, 0], \\ u(x, 0) \not\equiv 0, \quad v(x, 0) \not\equiv 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \end{array} \right.$$

In this paper, we examine the global existence and the long-term behavior of solutions to a diffusive delayed predator-prey model (1.2) with Beddington-DeAngelis type functional response under homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions, where the discrete time delay covers the period from the birth of immature preys to their maturity.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we study the global existence of nonnegative solutions and the long-term behavior of the time-dependent solution of (1.4), particularly its uniformly persistent property.

2. Global existence and persistence

In this section, we show the existence, uniqueness result, and persistence of solutions for the system (1.4) by using the Positivity Lemma [3] and comparison argument.

First, we define the coupled upper and lower solution to system (1.4) as follows by [3].

DEFINITION 2.1. A pair of nonnegative functions $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$, $\hat{\mathbf{u}} = (\hat{u}, \hat{v})$ in $C(\bar{\Omega} \times [-\tau, T]) \cap C^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0, T])$ are called *coupled upper and lower solutions* of (1.4) if $\hat{\mathbf{u}} \leq \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ in $\bar{\Omega} \times [-\tau, T]$ and it satisfies:

$$(2.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \tilde{u}_t - D_u \Delta \tilde{u} \geq r\tilde{u}_\tau - \frac{r}{K} \tilde{u}^2 - \frac{m\tilde{u}\tilde{v}}{1 + k_1\tilde{u} + k_2\tilde{v}}, & \\ \hat{u}_t - D_u \Delta \hat{u} \leq r\hat{u}_\tau - \frac{r}{K} \hat{u}^2 - \frac{m\hat{u}\hat{v}}{1 + k_1\hat{u} + k_2\hat{v}}, & \\ \tilde{v}_t - D_v \Delta \tilde{v} \geq \frac{nm\tilde{u}\tilde{v}}{1 + k_1\tilde{u} + k_2\tilde{v}} - d\tilde{v}, & \\ \hat{v}_t - D_v \Delta \hat{v} \leq \frac{nm\hat{u}\hat{v}}{1 + k_1\hat{u} + k_2\hat{v}} - d\hat{v} & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T], \\ \frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial \nu} \leq 0 \leq \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \nu}, \quad \frac{\partial \hat{v}}{\partial \nu} \leq 0 \leq \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \nu} & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T], \\ \hat{u}(x, t) \leq u_\theta(x) \leq \tilde{u}(x, t), & \\ \hat{v}(x, t) \leq v_\theta(x) \leq \tilde{v}(x, t) & \text{in } \Omega \times [-\tau, 0]. \end{array} \right.$$

Now we have the existence theorem as follows.

THEOREM 2.2. Let $u, v \in C(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T]) \cap C^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0, T))$ with $T \leq +\infty$ and (u, v) be a solution of (1.4). Then, for any nonnegative nontrivial initial functions, the system (1.4) has a unique global solution (u^*, v^*) such that $(0, 0) \leq (u^*, v^*) \leq (M_1, M_2)$ in $\bar{\Omega} \times [0, \infty)$, where

$$M_1 = \max \left\{ \|u_\theta(x)\|_\infty, \frac{be^{-d_i\tau}}{a} \right\},$$

$$M_2 = \max \left\{ \|v_\theta(x)\|_\infty, \frac{M_1(nm - dk_1) - d}{dk_2} \right\}.$$

Proof. We show that the solution of (1.4) is uniformly bounded. We construct the upper bound of the solution. For any τ with $0 < \tau < T$ if $\max_{\bar{\Omega} \times [0, \tau]} u(x, t) > \|u_\theta(x)\|_\infty$, $-\tau \leq \theta \leq 0$, then there exists $(x_0, t_0) \in \Omega \times (0, T]$ such that $u(x_0, t_0) = \max_{\bar{\Omega} \times [0, \tau]} u(x, t)$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} u_t(x_0, t_0) - D_u \Delta u(x_0, t_0) &= ru(x_0, t_0 - \tau) - \frac{r}{K} u^2(x_0, t_0) \\ &\quad - \frac{mu(x_0, t_0)v(x_0, t_0)}{1 + k_1u(x_0, t_0) + k_2v(x_0, t_0)} \\ &\leq ru(x_0, t_0) - \frac{r}{K} u^2(x_0, t_0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have that $0 \leq ru(1 - \frac{1}{K}u)$, and so $u \leq K = \frac{be^{-d_i\tau}}{a}$. Therefore $0 \leq u \leq M_1 = \max\{\|u_\theta(x)\|_\infty, \frac{be^{-d_i\tau}}{a}\}$. Since $\tau(< T)$ is arbitrary, we have $0 \leq u \leq M_1$ in $\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T]$.

Similarly, if $\max_{\bar{\Omega} \times [0, \tau]} v(x, t) > \|v_\theta(x)\|_\infty$, $-\tau \leq \theta \leq 0$, then there exists $(x_1, t_1) \in \Omega \times (0, T]$ such that $v(x_1, t_1) = \max_{\bar{\Omega} \times [0, \tau]} v(x, t)$. Because the following holds:

$$v(x_1, t_1) \leq \frac{u(x_1, t_1)(nm - dk_1) - d}{dk_2} \leq \frac{M_1(nm - dk_1) - d}{dk_2},$$

we have $0 \leq v \leq M_2 = \max\{\|v_\theta(x)\|_\infty, \frac{M_1(nm - dk_1) - d}{dk_2}\}$.

Let $\hat{\mathbf{c}} = (\hat{c}_1, \hat{c}_2) = (0, 0)$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{c}} = (\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2) = (M_1, M_2)$ be a pair of nonnegative constant vectors. Then these constant vectors satisfy $\hat{\mathbf{c}} \leq \tilde{\mathbf{c}}$ and (2.1) since

$$\begin{cases} r\tilde{c}_1 - r\frac{\tilde{c}_1^2}{K} - \frac{m\tilde{c}_1\tilde{c}_2}{1 + k_1\tilde{c}_1 + k_2\tilde{c}_2} \leq 0 \leq r\hat{c}_1 - r\frac{\hat{c}_1^2}{K} - \frac{m\hat{c}_1\tilde{c}_2}{1 + k_1\hat{c}_1 + k_2\tilde{c}_2}, \\ \frac{nm\tilde{c}_1\tilde{c}_2}{1 + k_1\tilde{c}_1 + k_2\tilde{c}_2} - d\tilde{c}_2 \leq 0 \leq \frac{nm\hat{c}_1\hat{c}_2}{1 + k_1\hat{c}_1 + k_2\hat{c}_2} - d\hat{c}_2. \end{cases}$$

Therefore $\tilde{\mathbf{c}}, \hat{\mathbf{c}}$ are coupled upper and lower solutions of (1.4).

The reaction functions in (1.4) satisfy the Lipschitz condition in invariant rectangle. Let

$$f_1(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_\tau) = ru_\tau - \frac{r}{K}u^2 - \frac{muv}{1 + k_1u + k_2v}, \quad f_2(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{nmuv}{1 + k_1u + k_2v} - dv,$$

where $\mathbf{u} = (u, v)$, $\mathbf{v} = (u_1, v_1)$, $\mathbf{u}_\tau = (u(x, t - \tau), v(x, t - \tau)) = (u_\tau, v_\tau)$ and $\mathbf{v}_\tau = (u_1(x, t - \tau), v_1(x, t - \tau)) = (u_{1\tau}, v_{1\tau})$. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} & f_1(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_\tau) - f_1(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_\tau) \\ &= r(u_\tau - u_{1\tau}) - \frac{r}{K}(u^2 - u_1^2) - m\left(\frac{uv}{1 + k_1u + k_2v} - \frac{u_1v_1}{1 + k_1u_1 + k_2v_1}\right) \\ &= r(u_\tau - u_{1\tau}) - \frac{r}{K}(u + u_1)(u - u_1) \\ &\quad - m\left[\frac{v(u - u_1) + u_1(v - v_1) + k_1uu_1(v - v_1) + k_2vv_1(u - u_1)}{(1 + k_1u + k_2v)(1 + k_1u_1 + k_2v_1)}\right] \\ &= r(u_\tau - u_{1\tau}) \\ &\quad - \left[\frac{r}{K}(u + u_1) + \frac{mv(1 + k_2v_1)}{(1 + k_1u + k_2v)(1 + k_1u_1 + k_2v_1)}\right](u - u_1) \\ &\quad - \left[\frac{mu_1(1 + k_1u)}{(1 + k_1u + k_2v)(1 + k_1u_1 + k_2v_1)}\right](v - v_1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |f_1(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_\tau) - f_1(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_\tau)| &\leq r|u_\tau - u_{1\tau}| + A|u - u_1| + B|v - v_1| \\ &\leq M(|\mathbf{u}_\tau - \mathbf{v}_\tau| + |\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}|), \end{aligned}$$

where $M = \max\{r, A, B\}$, $|\frac{r}{K}(u + u_1) + \frac{mv(1+k_2v_1)}{(1+k_1u+k_2v)(1+k_1u_1+k_2v_1)}| \leq A$, and $|\frac{mu_1(1+k_1u)}{(1+k_1u+k_2v)(1+k_1u_1+k_2v_1)}| \leq B$. This shows that f_1 satisfy the Lipschitz condition in $\langle \hat{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \rangle$.

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(\mathbf{u}) - f_2(\mathbf{v}) &= \frac{nmuv}{1+k_1u+k_2v} - dv - \left(\frac{nm u_1 v_1}{1+k_1u_1+k_2v_1} - dv_1 \right) \\ &= nm \left[\frac{v(u-u_1) + u_1(v-v_1) + k_1uu_1(v-v_1) + k_2vv_1(u-u_1)}{(1+k_1u+k_2v)(1+k_1u_1+k_2v_1)} \right] \\ &\quad - d(v-v_1) \\ &= \left[\frac{nmv(1+k_2v_1)}{(1+k_1u+k_2v)(1+k_1u_1+k_2v_1)} \right] (u-u_1) \\ &\quad + \left[\frac{nm u_1(1+k_1u)}{(1+k_1u+k_2v)(1+k_1u_1+k_2v_1)} - d \right] (v-v_1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |f_2(\mathbf{u}) - f_2(\mathbf{v})| &\leq C|u - u_1| + D|v - v_1| \\ &\leq M'|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}|, \end{aligned}$$

where $|\frac{nmv(1+k_2v_1)}{(1+k_1u+k_2v)(1+k_1u_1+k_2v_1)}| \leq C$, $|\frac{nm u_1(1+k_1u)}{(1+k_1u+k_2v)(1+k_1u_1+k_2v_1)} - d| \leq D$ and $M' = \max\{C, D\}$. This shows that f_2 satisfy the Lipschitz condition in $\langle \hat{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \rangle$.

Therefore, the system (1.4) has a unique global solution (u^*, v^*) such that $(\hat{c}_1, \hat{c}_2) \leq (u^*, v^*) \leq (\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2)$ in $\bar{\Omega} \times [0, \infty)$ whenever $(\hat{c}_1, \hat{c}_2) \leq (u_\theta(x), v_\theta(x)) \leq (\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2)$, $-\tau \leq \theta \leq 0$, by Theorem 2.2 in [4]. \square

Next, we give the persistence of solutions of model (1.4). To achieve the goal, we use the following lemma which is Lemma 3.1 in [1].

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $u \in C(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, \infty)) \cap C^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0, \infty))$, u be a non-negative nontrivial solution of the scalar problem:*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - D\Delta u = Bu(x, t - \tau) \pm A_1u(x, t) - A_2u^2(x, t) & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, t) = \phi(x, t) \geq 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times [-\tau, 0], \end{cases}$$

with $A_1 \geq 0, B, A_2, \tau > 0$. Then we have

- (i) if $B \pm A_1 > 0$, then $u \rightarrow (B \pm A_1)/A_2$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ uniformly on $\bar{\Omega}$,
- (ii) if $B \pm A_1 < 0$, then $u \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ uniformly on $\bar{\Omega}$.

We obtain the long-term behavior of any nonnegative solutions (u, v) of (1.4) as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in \Omega$.

THEOREM 2.4. *Suppose that $\frac{nmK}{1+k_1K} > d$, then the nonnegative solution (u, v) of (1.4) satisfies*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} (u(x, t), v(x, t)) \leq \left(K, \frac{(nm - dk_1)K - d}{dk_2} \right) \text{ in } \bar{\Omega}.$$

Proof. First of all, $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(x, t) \leq K$ in $\bar{\Omega}$ follows from comparison argument for the parabolic problems and Lemma 2.3 since $ru_\tau - \frac{r}{K}u^2 - \frac{mv}{1+k_1u+k_2v} \leq ru_\tau - \frac{r}{K}u^2$ in $\Omega \times [0, \infty)$. Thus, for an arbitrary positive constant ϵ , there exists $T_1 \in (0, \infty)$ such that $u(x, t) \leq K + \epsilon$ in $\bar{\Omega} \times [T_1, \infty)$. By using this result and comparison argument for the parabolic problems, for an arbitrary positive ϵ , there exists $T_2 \in [T_1, \infty)$ such that $v(x, t) \leq \frac{(nm-dk_1)K-d}{dk_2} + \epsilon^*$ in $\bar{\Omega} \times [T_2, \infty)$, where $\epsilon^* = \epsilon(\frac{nm-dk_1}{dk_2}) + \epsilon$, since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{nmuv}{1+k_1u+k_2v} - dv &\leq \frac{nm(K+\epsilon)v}{1+k_1(K+\epsilon)+k_2v} - dv \\ &= \frac{v[(nm-dk_1)K-d + \epsilon(nm-dk_1) - dk_2v]}{1+k_1(K+\epsilon)+k_2v} \end{aligned}$$

in $\Omega \times [T_1, \infty)$. Therefore, by the arbitrariness of ϵ , we obtain the desired result. □

THEOREM 2.5. *Suppose that $1 > \frac{m}{rk_2}$ and $\frac{nmA}{k_1A+1} > d$, then the nonnegative solution (u, v) of (1.4) satisfies*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} (u(x, t), v(x, t)) \geq \left(A, \frac{(nm - dk_1)A - d}{dk_2} \right) \text{ in } \bar{\Omega},$$

where $A := K(1 - \frac{m}{rk_2})$.

Proof. The comparison argument and Lemma 2.3 yield the desired result. For an arbitrary small positive constant ϵ , there exists $T_1 \in (0, \infty)$ such that $u(x, t) \geq K(1 - \frac{m}{rk_2}) - \epsilon = A - \epsilon$ in $\bar{\Omega} \times [T_1, \infty)$, since $ru_\tau - \frac{r}{K}u^2 - \frac{muv}{1+k_1u+k_2v} \geq ru_\tau - \frac{r}{K}u^2 - \frac{m}{k_2}u$ in $\Omega \times [0, \infty)$. By using this fact, for an arbitrary small positive constant ϵ , there exists $T_2 \in [T_1, \infty)$ such that $v(x, t) \geq \frac{(nm-dk_1)A-d}{dk_2} - \epsilon^*$ in $\bar{\Omega} \times [T_2, \infty)$, where $\epsilon^* = \epsilon(\frac{nm-dk_1}{dk_2}) + \epsilon$, since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{nmuv}{1+k_1u+k_2v} - dv &\geq \frac{nm(A-\epsilon)v}{1+k_1(A-\epsilon)+k_2v} - dv \\ &= \frac{v[(nm-dk_1)A-d-\epsilon(nm-dk_1)-dk_2v]}{1+k_1(A-\epsilon)+k_2v} \end{aligned}$$

in $\Omega \times [T_1, \infty)$. Therefore, by the arbitrariness of ϵ , we obtain the desired result. \square

References

- [1] Z. Lin, *Time delayed parabolic system in a two-species competitive model with stage structure*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **315** (2006), 202-215.
- [2] S. Liu and J. Zhang, *Coexistence and stability of predator-prey model with Beddington-DeAngelis functional response and stage structure*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **342** (2008), 446-460.
- [3] C. V. Pao, *Dynamics of nonlinear parabolic systems with time delays*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **198** (1996), 751-779.
- [4] C. V. Pao, *Systems of parabolic equations with continuous and discrete delays*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **205** (1997), 157-185.

*

Department of Mathematics
 Korea University
 Seoul 136-713, Republic of Korea
E-mail: imp57@korea.ac.kr